

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/314,123	05/19/1999	NOBUAKI MIYAHARA	35.G2391	4726	
5514	7590 09/03/2002				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER		
	ELLER PLAZA I, NY 10112		TRAN, DOUGLAS Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/314,123	MIYAHARA ET AL.	
*	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas Q. Tran	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE steem on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriat fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
(a) L they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	or reconsideration has been cons see Continuation Sheet	sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-5</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			1
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· ////	/
10. Other:		SEPA MA	NCUS One
S. Patent and Trademark Office			<del></del>

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments do not overcome the rejections because the cited prior art fully discloses the claimed invention. Yaguchi teaches that a loading unit (i.e., the external storage device 6 in fig. 6) that receives a detachable storage medium (col. 12, lines 42-46), the detachable storage medium having stored therei image data and sheet processing information (col. 12, lines 56-58; note: file data would include image data and sheet processing information from reader portion 1; and the mark sheet is also called sheet processing information which is described in col. 16, line 65 to col. 17, line 1); and a controller (i.e., CPU 516) that controls the printer such that the image is printed on the recording sheet in accordance with the sheet processing information stored in the detachable storage medium loaded in the loading unit (col. 17, lines 7-27). Although Yaguchi does not teach sheet processing information including the setting whether double-sided or single-sided printing of the image, such limitations, which are well know in the prior art, are the functions that are performed in the conventional printer. Such limitations would have been obvious in the printing system of Yaguchi. Since CPU 516 of Yaguchi receives a command for retrieving/printing data in data from external storage device (col. 13, lines 13-18) and printing setting for print out the image to the printe (col. 13, lines 34-37), Yaguchi would include the optional setting for double-sided or single-sided printing of the image. Furthermore, Takayanagi teaches the image data file, stored in the hard disk, including image data and the attribute information, which has printing options, is performed by the printer (col. 6, lines 21-40).